सिंदरी खाद कारखाने का नवीकरण तथा विस्तार

2088. **श्री शारदा महन्ती :**

श्री चिमन भाई मेहता :

श्री शंकर दयाल सिंह

क्या **प्रधान मंत्री** यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सिंदरी कारखाने के नवीकरण तथा विस्तार से संबंधित कोई प्रस्ताव सरकार के विचाराधीन है ; और

(ख) क्या यह सच है कि पिछले कुछ वर्षों से इस कारखाने की स्थिति लगातार बिगड़ती जा रही है ; यदि हां, तो इस संबंध में ब्यौरा क्या है ?

रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री चिंता मोहन) : (क) यद्यपि सिंदरी उर्वरक कारखाने के विस्तार के लिए इस समय सरकार के विचाराधीन कोई प्रस्ताव नहीं है, फिर भी कम्पनी के निदेशक मंडल द्वारा नवीकरण और प्रतिस्थापन की निम्नलिखित योजनाओं को मंजूरी दी गई है :—

(1) 13.64 करोड़ रुपये की लागत पर एयर सेपरेशन संयंत्र में मोलीकूलर सीव की स्थापना की लिए एक योजना ।

(2) स्वास्थ्य अध्ययन पर आधारित लगभग 16.23 करोड़ रुपये की लागत की पुनरूद्धार/नवीकरण योजना ।

(3) 11.98 करोड़ रुपये की लागत पर प्रदूषण नियंत्रण उपाय जैसे थूरिया हाइड्रोलाइसर स्ट्रीपर और एन0 ओ0 एक्स0 उपशमन योजना ।

कम्पनी ने वर्तमान कैपटिव पावर संयंत्र के स्थान पर 142.64 रुपये की लागत से एक नए कैपटिव पावर संयंत्र के लिए एक योजना बनाई है । वित्तीय बाधाओं के कारण इस समय इस योजना का अनुसरण नहीं किया जा सकता है ।

(ख) पिछले तीन वर्षों के दौरान नीचे दिए गए विवरण के अनुसार सिंदरी संयंत्र की क्षमता उपयोगिता में गिरावट का सुझाव प्रदर्शित किया है और हानियां उन्नत स्थिति दर्शा रही है :

वर्ष	क्षमता उपयोगिता प्रतिशत	हानियां (रु0 करोड़ में)
1988-89	71.20	23.69
1989-90	58.80	29.75
1990-91	58.70	40.91

Failure of the programme to create additional capacity for fertilizers

2089. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the programme to create additional capacity for fertilizers based on natural gas as feedstock has failed;

(b) what are the main reasons for the failure; and

(c) the extent of fertilizer production capacity targeted to be revised under the Seventh Five Year Plan, and the annual plans for the intervening years; the extent of additional capacity actually raised during the period and the extent of shortfall in each case?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The extent of fertilizer production capacity targeted and achieved by the end of the last year of Seventh Five Year Plan viz. 1989-90, and the Annual Plans for the intervening years between

the Seventh and Eighth Five Year Plans, is given below:—

(Lakhs MT)

	Nitrogen Capacity			Phosphate Capcity		
	Target	Actual	Shortfall	Target	Actual	Shortfall
End of Seventh Plan (i.e. by 1989-90)	92·53	81·48	(—)11·05	28·91	27·50	(—)1·41
Intervening Years :						
1990-91	82·62*	81·48	(—) 1·14	28.40	27·50	(—) 0·90
1991-92	85·43*	Year not complete		29·45	Year not complete	

*These targets were fixed on the bsis of the Report of the Working Group on Fertilizer for the Eighth Plan.

Decontrol of prices and distribution of molasses and industrial alcohol

2090. SHRI S. MADHAVAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have any proposal under consideration to decontrol the pricing and distribution of molasses and industrial alcohol; if so, what are the details in this regard; and

(b) whether States with surplus production of industrial alcohol have sought permission to export this product if so, what action was taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Export is in the form of ethyl alcohol. Central Government has been allowing specified quantities of ethyl, alcohol for export from year to year after taking into account its likely production and domestic requirements. Permission for export of 1,000 lakh litres of Ethyl Alcohol has last been given in September, 1991.

Writ petition against the recovery of Flucinolone Acetonide

2091. SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1581 given in the Rajya Sabha on 12th September, 1991 and state:

(a) when the writ petition against recovery in respect of Flucinolone Acetoride was filed by the company in Bombay High Court and when the parawise comments was sent by Government;

(b) whether it is a fact that Government have still to file affidavit in the Bombay High Court in the case;

(c) if so, the details thereof and the reasons for delay; and

(d) what steps have been taken to expedite the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) The Writ petition against recovery in respect of Fluocinolone Acetonide was filed by M/s. Lyka in Bombay High Court in August, 1990 and the parawise comments were sent to Central Government Advocate in September, 1990.

(b) Yes, Sir.